

Illegal and Original Toxin Discrimination with the Help of Wood's Light

© Mustafa Şen, © Aslan Yürekli, © Gülşen Akoğlu, © Anıl Çağrı Tuna

Department of Dermatology, University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Gülhane Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Türkiye

Dear Editor,

In recent years, the field of aesthetic medicine has experienced a significant increase in demand for minimally invasive cosmetic procedures. Botulinum toxin injections have become particularly prominent due to their effectiveness in treating dynamic wrinkles, hyperhidrosis, and neuromuscular conditions. However, parallel to this rise, there has been a concerning increase in illegally imported and unapproved toxin-containing products. These counterfeit formulations, often produced without standardized manufacturing protocols or adequate oversight, pose serious risks to patient safety and clinical practice.

One of the main drivers of illegal toxin use is financial motivation. Because licensed botulinum toxin products are costly and strictly regulated, smuggled products are sometimes used to reduce expenses. These toxins are often processed from unauthorized raw materials and distributed without quality control, making dose standardization and safety monitoring impossible. This increases the risk of adverse events, including botulism, a rare but potentially life-threatening condition.¹ Recent real-world data further highlight this risk, as a 2024 report from the United States documented multiple cases of serious illness following administration of presumed counterfeit botulinum toxin in nonmedical settings.² Consequently, distinguishing authentic toxin products from counterfeit ones has become a critical clinical responsibility. Among toxins used illicitly, abobotulinumtoxinA is one of the most frequently counterfeited formulations. Counterfeit packaging and vial designs often closely resemble genuine

products, making visual differentiation challenging even for experienced clinicians. Therefore, practical methods to support authenticity verification are needed.

In this context, Wood's light examination represents a simple and accessible tool. Wood's light emits long-wave ultraviolet radiation at approximately 365 nm and is widely used in dermatology for diagnostic purposes. When Wood's light is applied to toxin packaging, a key distinguishing feature can be observed: authentic abobotulinumtoxinA products display a bright, reflective hologram, whereas counterfeit products lack this fluorescence (Figure 1).

In the present study, 156 abobotulinumtoxinA vials were examined, comprising 78 authentic and 78 counterfeit vials. All vials were independently assessed under Wood's light, and findings were consistent across assessments. Original products uniformly demonstrated a bright holographic reflection, whereas none of the counterfeit vials exhibited fluorescence, indicating reproducible differentiation between original and counterfeit products.

Wood's light is readily available in most dermatology and aesthetic clinics, and the examination requires only a few seconds. Incorporation of this step into routine practice may strengthen product authentication, reduce complications, and enhance patient confidence.

Manufacturer documentation supports hologram-based security features as authenticity markers. Official prescribing information for abobotulinumtoxinA (DYSPO®) states

Submission: 27-Nov-2025

Epub: 02-Feb-2026

Acceptance: 19-Jan-2026

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.turkjdermatol.com

DOI:

10.4274/tjd.galenos.2026.24196

Address for correspondence:

Aslan Yürekli,
Department of Dermatology, University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Gülhane
Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Türkiye

Email: aslanyurekli03@hotmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2812-2133



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given.

How to cite this article: Şen M, Yürekli A, Akoğlu G, Tuna AÇ. Illegal and original toxin discrimination with the help of Wood's light. Turk J Dermatol. [Epub Ahead of Print]

that the outer carton contains a unique hologram and advises clinicians not to use the product if the hologram is absent.³ Similarly, FDA-approved labeling for onabotulinumtoxinA (BOTOX®) specifies a holographic film on the vial label as an anti-counterfeiting measure.⁴ These features are manufacturer-defined and brand-specific rather than universal. Hologram technology is widely used as a high-security anti-counterfeiting method in banknotes, official documents, and luxury goods. Nevertheless, Wood's light examination should be considered a supportive screening tool rather than a definitive validation method. False-negative results may occur because of packaging damage or lighting conditions, whereas false-positive results are theoretically possible if counterfeit products imitate holographic elements. A limitation of this evaluation is its focus on a single formulation. AbobotulinumtoxinA was selected because it is the botulinum toxin product most commonly counterfeited, both globally and in our country. Broader generalization to other formulations should be

approached with caution. Clinicians also bear ethical and legal responsibility for obtaining toxin products exclusively from authorized supply chains. In many countries, including ours, distribution is regulated through traceability systems such as global location number-based identification. Products obtained outside these systems pose legal, professional, and patient-safety risks and should be reported to the relevant authorities or the manufacturers.

Differentiation between original and counterfeit botulinum toxin products is a medical necessity. Wood's lamp examination is a fast, inexpensive, and practical adjunctive method that can enhance clinical safety when used in conjunction with regulatory compliance and manufacturer verification.

Footnotes

Authorship Contributions

Concept: M.Ş., A.Y., G.A., Design: M.Ş., A.Y., G.A., Data Collection or Processing: A.Y., A.Ç.T., Analysis or Interpretation: A.Y., G.A., Literature Search: M.Ş., A.Y., A.Ç.T., Writing: M.Ş., A.Y., G.A., A.Ç.T.

Conflict of Interest: One of the authors, Aslan Yürekli, is a member of the Associate Editor of the Turkish Journal of Dermatology. However, he was not involved in any stage of the editorial decision-making process for this manuscript. The manuscript was evaluated independently by editors from other institutions. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Chen Z, Chen ZY, Liu WH, Li GS. Botulism due to cosmetic injection of botulinum toxin: five-year experience. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2021;148(2):335e-337e.
2. Thomas CM, McElroy R, Yackley J, Fill MA, Goonewardene D, Mackley C, Roth E, Ackelsberg J, Slavinski S, Habrun C, Hodge B, Rush C, Brown CM, Waltenburg MA, Bertling LH, McGorty M, Johnson R, Schaffner W, Jones TF, Dunn JR. Notes from the field: Illnesses after administration of presumed counterfeit botulinum toxin in non-medical settings - Tennessee and New York City, March 2024. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2024;73(27):609-611.
3. Ipsen Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. DYSPO® (abobotulinumtoxinA) prescribing information. Available from: <https://www.dysportusa.com/PI>
4. Allergan, Inc. BOTOX® (onabotulinumtoxinA) FDA-approved labeling. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/103000s52321bl.pdf



Figure 1. Image of the original and illegal product under Wood light. Note the shining hologram on the original product on the left